



SmartSPIN

Smart energy services to solve the **S**Plit **I**Ncentive problem in the commercial rented sector

Call topic: H2020-LC-SC3-2018-2019-2020
Start date of the project: 01/09/2021
Duration: 36 months

D7.5 – FINAL VALUE CHAIN & STAKEHOLDERS ANALYSIS

Due date	31/12/2024	Delivery date	23/12/2024
Work package	7		
Responsible Author(s)	Thomas Maidonis		
Contributor(s)	Wouter Rijk		
Dissemination level	Confidential		

Version and amendments history

Version	Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	Created/Amended by	Changes
V0.1	16/12/2024	Wouter Rijk	First draft of the document
V1.0	23/12/2024	Wouter Rijk	Final version of D7.5





TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Introduction.....	4
2	Overview of the Analysis.....	4
3	Approach & Methodology.....	6
3.1	Overall Methodology.....	6
3.2	Value Chain Analysis Methodology.....	7
3.3	EU Project Analysis Methodology	7
3.4	Patent Analysis Methodology.....	7
3.5	Business Drivers Analysis Methodology.....	9
3.6	Stakeholders Survey Methodology.....	10
3.6.1	Survey design.....	10
3.6.2	Survey distribution	10
3.6.3	Input analysis.....	10
4	Analysis	11
4.1	Boundaries and Value Chain Analysis	11
4.1.1	1 st level relevance stakeholders	12
4.1.2	2 nd level relevance stakeholders	14
4.1.3	3 rd level relevance stakeholders.....	15
4.1.4	4 th level relevance stakeholders.....	16
4.2	EU Project Analysis	16
4.3	Patent Analysis.....	26
4.4	Business Drivers Analysis.....	26
4.5	Stakeholders Survey Analysis.....	28
5	Conclusions	37

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Stakeholder analysis approach.....	5
Figure 2.	PatentScope homepage	8
Figure 3.	Wheesbee patent search tool	8
Figure 4.	Espacenet homepage.....	9
Figure 5.	Stakeholder mapping.....	12
Figure 6.	Partners with participation in more than 2 of the identified projects (relevance 1 & 2).....	20
Figure 7.	Number of projects of relevance 1 and 2 to SmartSPIN per year of project start	25





Figure 8. Number of partners per country in projects of relevance 1 to SmartSPIN 26

Figure 9: Left: Third re-launch of the SmartSPIN Survey via the SmartSPIN LinkedIn 29

Figure 10: Invite to survey via a notification in the Gamification Dashboard..... 29

Figure 11: Invitation for Survey via the Gamification Dashboard..... 30

Figure 12: Stakeholder groups 31

Figure 13: Country split 31

Figure 14: Familiarity of energy services 32

Figure 15: Installed energy efficiency services (tenants and landlords) 33

Figure 16: Clauses to be included in the contractual agreement..... 35

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Selected keywords for EU project search 16

Table 2 Indicative list of most relevant projects to SmartSPIN 17

Table 3 Stakeholder table - Institute for European Energy and Climate policy 21

Table 4 Stakeholder table – Fraunhofer-Gesellschaft 21

Table 5 Stakeholder table – Hypertech..... 22

Table 6 Stakeholder table – Etra Investigacion..... 22

Table 7 Stakeholder table – Centre for Renewable Energy Sources and Saving (CRES)..... 22

8 Stakeholder table – National Technical University of Athens (NTUA) 23

Table 9 Stakeholder table – Rina Consulting..... 23

Table 10 Stakeholder table – ETHNIKO KENTRO EREVNAS KAI TECHNOLOGIKIS ANAPTYXIS 24

Table 11 Stakeholder table – CIRCE..... 24

Table 12 Stakeholder table – KAPE 24





1 INTRODUCTION

One of the major barriers to energy efficient building renovations in commercially rented buildings is the split incentive problem – i.e. the conflict that arises when the benefits of a transaction do not accrue to the person who pays for it. Performance based contracts such as Energy Performance Contracts (EPCs) have been available for a number of years and have proven highly successful in the public sector. However, in most Member States EPCs have not yet penetrated the commercial rented sector due largely to two interrelated barriers: (a) the long contract duration of EPCs (8-15 years is common) which in many cases can be longer than the tenancy contract, and (b) the split incentive problem. Despite the size of the commercial rented sector and the potential for energy savings, no business model for performance-based energy efficiency has yet been able to penetrate the market in any significant way, leaving this market largely untapped.

The first step in the SmartSPIN business model is to implement low cost actions to reduce building's energy consumption such as adding sensors to improve state estimation capabilities, fine tuning the operation control of the systems and unifying it under a common goal of improved energy efficiency. Such measures have a short payback time, which mitigates one of the barriers to energy performance contracting (EPC) in the private sector: the long contract duration. To overcome the second major barrier, the split incentive problem, SmartSPIN advances the Energy Efficiency-as-a-Service (EEaaS) model. EEaaS is based on the concept of an energy efficiency service provider offering solutions that: (a) combine demand management services and energy efficiency interventions, (b) facilitate the adoption of renewables, and (c) optimize the balance between demand and supply, while the customer pays for the service through a monthly, quarterly or annual fee that is linked, directly or indirectly, to the energy savings realized on utility bills.

This report is an update of deliverable 7.2 which aimed to:

- Identify the most important stakeholder groups within and around the SmartSPIN value chain
- Understand their challenges and attitudes towards efficiency and flexibility, with the aim to inform work and processes in other parts of the project,
- Facilitate the refinement and validation of the business model & value proposition,
- Identify potential future collaborations in order to set up engagement strategies.

2 OVERVIEW OF THE ANALYSIS

The stakeholder analysis is performed using a methodology developed by PNO Consultants, which aims to identify the most relevant stakeholders and categories by examining the innovation landscape. This is done using a systematic and iterative process visualized in Figure 1 and described below.






Figure 1. Stakeholder analysis approach

The process consists of three complementary phases which, when combined, provide a good overview of the innovation, investment and business landscape. The methodology followed in each part of the process is described in section 3, while the analysis of all phases is provided in section 4 of this report.

1. EU Project analysis

This phase aims to identify innovators in the field, through an analysis of EU-wide innovation projects around topics related to the technologies of interest. Using available databases of publicly funded projects, complemented by desk research, the most relevant projects and their participants are identified, as well as additional information like distribution across time or geography. The rationale behind this approach is that many innovations take place within the context of collaborations that require subsidies, because of the uncertainties involved with innovative developments.

2. Patent analysis

The second phase consists of a patent analysis. This phase is complementary to phase 1 and provides insight into which parties perform R&D on the topic of interest but not (necessarily) within the context of a collaborative, publicly funded project. Being a Coordination & Support Action, SmartSPIN is a less technical project to which the applicability of a patent analysis is very marginal. For this purpose, after having performed the first steps of this analysis and assessing the results have been of lower relevance, it was concluded not to further proceed with this part of the analysis.

3. Business drivers

The third phase consists of an analysis of business drivers, which aims to identify relevant parties that are not (necessarily) directly involved with the innovation process but are nonetheless relevant to its (business) success. These can be e.g. potential users of the SmartSPIN results, targeted stakeholder groups and their networks and associations, etc.



Business drivers are further studied with the support of a stakeholder survey, which aims to better understand and measure stakeholder interest in the SmartSPIN business model.

Through this approach, different subsections of the stakeholder landscape are identified. The results from each of the phases can subsequently be used as inputs to the other phase in an iterative approach. The end result consists of two parts:

1. The stakeholder analysis report, which presents the analysis and the findings and conclusions most relevant to the project. The goal is to identify the most relevant stakeholders and their characteristics, in order to best tailor the communication and dissemination strategy to their needs and interests.
2. A stakeholder database, consisting of a standardized description of the relevant stakeholders, including area of work/expertise, relevance to the project and contact information.

3 APPROACH & METHODOLOGY

3.1 OVERALL METHODOLOGY

This chapter provides a description of the methodology used for each of the different phases of the analysis, namely:

- A value chain & stakeholder analysis where the most relevant stakeholder groups within and around the SmartSPIN value chain that could benefit from the SmartSPIN results are identified and their position towards the project is assessed, based on input from the CORDIS database, EGEN's and the consortium partners' wider network as well as desktop research. The analysis was conducted in 3 stages;
 - Stage 1: A first version of the value chain analysis was made available in M3 creating a basis for the communication and dissemination strategy as well as the dissemination of the first online survey.
 - Stage 2: The value chain analysis was complemented by the results of the first online survey and a complete stakeholder analysis in M15.
 - Stage 3: An update of this analysis was provided close to the end of the project to enhance the implementation of the Exploitation Plan and maximize the impact of the project results and final exploitation workshop.
- An online survey in the beginning of the project (M6) targeting stakeholders such as landlords, tenants, ESCOs, property management, aggregators, their associations, etc. with the aim to identify the challenges they face as well as barriers that prevent them from taking energy efficiency measures and utilise any existing flexibility resources they have access to. Further guidance was sought from key experts participating as the External Advisory Board members.





- A dynamic stakeholder consultation process that took place throughout the project duration and incorporated any input, insights and feedback collected by the project stakeholders during the project dissemination activities.
- A second online survey in the second half of the project has been designed to measure stakeholder characteristics, like their interest, knowledge and attitude towards the SmartSPIN business model.

3.2 VALUE CHAIN ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

Before proceeding with the main core of the stakeholder analysis, the exercise of setting the boundaries and defining the value chain of the project activities was performed. This was a more confined description of the landscape within which SmartSPIN operated, also expanding on the upstream and downstream stakeholder categories. It is crucial at this stage that the boundaries were set broadly enough in order to make sure that no biases or assumptions would lead to unintentionally overlook any relevant stakeholder groups and channels that should fall within scope in all following stages of the analysis.

3.3 EU PROJECT ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

More than 100,000 organisations have been funded by the EC via the FP7, H2020 and Horizon Europe, and LIFE funding programmes. Universities, RTOs, large industries, SMEs and associations with their own ideas populate this dynamic ecosystem that strives for developing innovation from early-stage ideas to advanced prototypes. The Community Research and Development Information Service (CORDIS - cordis.europa.eu) is the EC's primary public repository and portal to disseminate information on all the EU-funded research projects and their results.

The EU project analysis is based on the exploitation of the CORDIS database, which makes available a set of structured information about funded projects and the involved entities. With the use of wheesbee (<https://www.wheesbee.eu/>), the CORDIS repository was explored to identify relevant EU projects and extract the detailed list of all the stakeholders involved in such projects. wheesbee can extract EU funded projects not only from H2020, but from earlier framework programmes such as FP7 as well as newer such as Horizon Europe.

A selection of topics/keywords most relevant to the various aspects covered in SmartSPIN was made. The keyword selection is a trial-and-error process through which those keywords are identified that are neither too generic nor too specific. The analysis using the outlined methodology is provided in section 4.2.

3.4 PATENT ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

A large number of stakeholders patent their inventions in order to maintain exclusive rights, reduce competition, but also as an investment, or licensing / selling the patent as an owned asset. Patenting is the first action towards investment, as patenting entail fees for maintaining a patent. The fact that stakeholders are patenting their technologies and know how, indicate that they start a sort of investment. There are different profiles for patent owners, but in the methodology followed here, it is possible to extract the profile of potential investors which is the most interesting profile for a client.





This phase is complementary to phase 1 and provides insight into which parties perform R&D on the topic of interest but not (necessarily) within the context of a collaborative, publicly funded project. This entails scanning all the patents related to the value chain, making use of online search tools like PatentScope (see Figure 2, <https://patentscope.wipo.int/search/es/search.jsf>), PNO’s wheesbee (see Figure 3, <https://www.wheesbee.eu/>,) and Espacenet (see Figure 4, <https://worldwide.espacenet.com/>).




Figure 2. PatentScope homepage




Figure 3. Wheesbee patent search tool





Figure 4. Espacenet homepage

The identification of the relevant corpus of patents is done by screening the sections, classes and subclasses of the International Patent Classification (IPC) system. This system allows to sort inventions and their documents into technical fields covering all areas of technology. Every patent document, application and granted patent, has a classification code indicating its allocation to a specific area of technology. This feature allows a fast and effective identification of the corpus of patents on which the analysis is based.

A “quick analysis” is first performed checking the whole corpus of patents, in order to make a preliminary assessment. Plotting the number of patents released over time allows to identify waves of patents and trends over the years while the distribution of documents over countries allows to indicate which countries are leading R&D activities in a sector.

3.5 BUSINESS DRIVERS ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

The potential business drivers are stakeholders that complement and enrich the database of stakeholders that were identified during the EU projects and patents analysis respectively. End users of value chains are sometimes not involved in the innovation process of the same value chain and may not appear in the EU projects database nor in the patent database. In every market sector, there are consolidated players, generally backed by a robust and longstanding business model that are on the need of the solutions and technologies developed in a project. These actors could potentially





enter into business deals with a consortium producing specific materials or technologies and integrate them into their own business processes.

Compared to the Innovators and Investors' analysis, there are no single or known databases to consider for the identification of potential business drivers. In order to increase the number of identified stakeholders in this part of the analysis (and also the reach out to them afterwards) the focus has been on associations active in the fields relevant to the project. The identification of such associations is based on previous working and networking experience of PNO and the other consortium members, supported by desktop research. For each subsector related to the project some key European and national associations or clusters are identified. The list of their members as potential business drivers is then investigated. This analysis is provided in section 4.4.

3.6 STAKEHOLDERS SURVEY METHODOLOGY

The next part of the stakeholder analysis is a survey that aims to measure stakeholder drivers and barriers of the SmartSPIN business model. This consultation process is divided in three main parts; designing the questions and the overall survey, distributing the survey to the targeted stakeholders and collecting and analysing the input provided.

3.6.1 Survey design

When designing the survey questions, several things have to be taken into consideration. The structure, flow, quality and relevance of the questions can significantly influence the response rates to the survey. Furthermore, the order and way the questions are shaped can influence the extent to which the responses are biased or not.

In order to gain valuable input to the survey, the topics covered in the survey are first identified. A basic structure of the questions is then developed and pilot-tested by a test group for each of the different stakeholder groups already defined in the value chain (section 4.1). This process will define whether sector or stakeholder specific questions are required. Both open and closed-ended questions need to be considered and the right balance between quantitative and qualitative input needs to be achieved, always having the input analysis phase in mind.

3.6.2 Survey distribution

Before distributing the survey, it is important to pre-test it with a small number of stakeholders. This process is recommended in order to validate the survey before its distribution. Upon validation, an online version of the final survey is developed using Microsoft Forms. The right channels for reaching the targeted audience are then used. These channels have been already defined in the earlier communication and dissemination activities of the project and are enhanced by the analysis and results of all previous sections in this report, i.e. the project and partners newsletters and social media, the project website, dedicated emails to the partners' network, European & national associations, any other partner specific channels, etc.

3.6.3 Input analysis

After the first distribution wave, the process and progress of input collection is closely monitored. This allows to adjust the survey communication & dissemination strategy according to the needs for





reaching a complete set of responses. A first preliminary analysis of the collected input before closing the survey is recommended for some first insights to be gained on time to assess whether the survey dissemination strategy needs to be adjusted.

Closed ended questions allow for standardisation and better visualisation of the responses collected, whereas responses to open-ended questions are harder to visualise. To the extent possible, some grouping of qualitative input can be achieved. Quotations from certain stakeholders can be quite effective in communicating the results and adding credibility to them.

The survey aims to measure, analyse and better understand stakeholder interest, attitude and knowledge with regards to the SmartSPIN business model. Furthermore, it provides input to enhance the future dissemination and exploitation activities. The analysis of the survey is provided in section 4.5.

4 ANALYSIS

4.1 BOUNDARIES AND VALUE CHAIN ANALYSIS

As explained in section 3, the first step was to develop the value chain which is a more confined description of the landscape within which SmartSPIN operated. The core of the SmartSPIN project is smart energy services in the commercial rented sector combining energy efficiency and flexibility. In the broadest sense, this means that the boundaries are given by the entire energy system, including both electricity and heating.

The main stakeholders related to the SmartSPIN project can be seen in Figure 5. Their role, their relevance to the SmartSPIN project and how they could benefit from the project are explained below. Four levels of stakeholders are identified. The 1st level are the stakeholders that are directly related to the SmartSPIN business model; these are the owning entity, the property management entity, the occupying entity and the Energy Service Company. The 2nd level stakeholders could potentially be directly related to the SmartSPIN business model in different ways and include aggregators, energy suppliers and development & energy services providers. The 3rd level stakeholders are indirectly affected by the SmartSPIN business model and include subcontractors, network system operators, technology developers/providers, research and policy-makers. The 4th level is the wider public (e.g. citizens, NGOs) which could be interested in the project but are not directly related to the SmartSPIN business model.






Figure 5. Stakeholder mapping

4.1.1 1st level relevance stakeholders

Owning entity/landlord

According to a report by BPIE¹, approximately 25% of Europe’s 25 billion m² of useful building floor area is accounted for by non-residential buildings, of which 28% are wholesale or retail premises, 23% are offices, 11% are hotels and restaurants, and 4% are sports facilities. These types of building are often owned by a commercial landlord who rents or leases all or parts of the building to one or more tenants. One of the major barriers to energy efficient building renovations in commercially rented buildings is the split incentive problem – i.e. the conflict that arises when the benefits of a transaction do not accrue to the person who pays for it. To overcome this barrier, SmartSPIN advances the Energy Efficiency-as-a-Service (EEaaS) model. EEaaS is based on the concept of an energy efficiency service provider offering solutions that: (a) combine demand management services and energy efficiency interventions, (b) facilitate the adoption of renewables, and (c) optimize the balance between demand and supply, while the customer pays for the service through a monthly, quarterly or annual fee that is linked, directly or indirectly, to the energy savings realized on utility bills. The main way in which the EEaaS model can address the split incentive problem is by charging the tenant for both the actual energy consumed and the estimated energy savings, while the benefits from efficiency improvements and flexibility services are shared among the energy efficiency provider and the building owner. The owning entity therefore benefits from the SmartSPIN project by getting a reward for the efficiency improvements and the flexibility services. Furthermore, a more energy efficient building could result in a better energy performance certificate and an increase in property value².

¹ https://bpie.eu/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/HR_EU_B_under_microscope_study.pdf

² https://energy.ec.europa.eu/topics/energy-efficiency/energy-efficient-buildings_en





Occupying entity/tenant

The occupying entity rents or leases (parts of the) building from the owning entity. They use energy provided by the energy supplier and pay for their energy usage. The first step in the SmartSPIN business model is to implement low/zero cost actions to reduce a buildings energy consumption such as adding sensors to improve state estimation capabilities, load monitoring, fine tuning the operation control of the systems and unifying it under a common goal of improved energy efficiency. Such measures have immediate or short payback time, which mitigates one of the barriers to energy performance contracting (EPC) in the private sector: the long contract duration. Including flexibility services in this first step through participation in demand response programs or shifting consumption away from peak times using dynamic pricing creates additional revenues that can further reduce payback time. SmartSPIN advances the EEaaS model where the occupying entity pays for the service through a monthly, quarterly or annual fee that is linked, directly or indirectly, to the energy savings realized on utility bills. The occupying entity therefore benefits from the SmartSPIN project by the energy savings and flexibility realized which results in a discounted bill from the energy supplier. Compared to existing business models the tenant gains added value due to utilizing their flexibility potential which also results in reduced costs.

Property management

Property management is responsible for the operation, control and oversight of the building. It deals with a wide range of tasks, including maintaining the property and managing the tenants. In the case of the SmartSPIN business model, the property management receives a fee from the landlord to provide maintenance and energy management services to the tenant. To be able to provide these services, property management receives data intelligence and operational training from the ESCO.

Energy Services Company

Energy service companies (ESCOs) develop, design, build, and arrange financing for projects that save energy, reduce energy costs, and decrease operations and maintenance costs at their customers' facilities. ESCOs act as project developers for a comprehensive range of energy conservation measures and assume the technical and performance risks associated with a project. ESCOs are distinguished from other firms that offer energy-efficiency improvements in that they use a performance-based contracting methodology. When an ESCO implements a project, the company's compensation is directly linked to the actual energy cost savings. Most agreements between customers and ESCOs are underpinned by energy performance contracts (EPCs). The substantial energy-efficiency retrofits and renewable energy technologies inherent in energy performance contract projects typically require a large initial capital investment and may have a relatively long payback period. The EPC commits the ESCO to installing the necessary equipment, provides a performance guarantee and establishes the terms of any upfront or ongoing payments, which are intended to be less than the financial savings realised by the project.^{3 4}

The ESCOs benefit from the SmartSPIN project as there is a large potential market for them to unlock with help of the SmartSPIN project and business model. Despite the size of the commercial

³ <https://www.energy.gov/eere/femp/energy-service-companies-0>

⁴ [https://www.iea.org/reports/energy-service-companies-escos-2/esco-contracts](https://www.iea.org/reports/energy-service-companies-escos-2/escos-2/esco-contracts)





rented sector and the potential for energy savings, no business model for performance-based energy efficiency has yet been able to penetrate the market in any significant way, leaving this market largely untapped. The SmartSPIN business model also adds more value by covering flexibility on top of the energy efficiency measures. Due to the split incentive issue, the owning entity and occupying entity show currently little interest in the products that ESCOs offer. With the SmartSPIN business model they could attract both parties better. SmartSPIN developed tools and model contracts that can be of benefit for the ESCOs and give guidelines on how to share benefits between owner and tenants. ESCOs could innovate by adding flexibility services to their portfolio or work together with aggregators for the flexibility services.

4.1.2 2nd level relevance stakeholders

Aggregator

An aggregator is a new type of energy service provider which can increase or moderate the electricity consumption of a group of consumers according to total electricity demand on the grid. An aggregator can also operate on behalf of a group of consumers producing their own electricity by selling the excess electricity they produce⁵ Aggregation thus entails grouping the energy consumption or generation of several consumers. In European markets, there are limited examples of independent aggregators engaging with residential consumers. Existing aggregators are mainly working with industrial or commercial customers. An aggregator can set up an agreement with several consumers, based on which the aggregator can temporarily reduce their electricity consumption when there is high demand for electricity. He then sells this flexibility or the avoided electricity consumption in electricity markets. An aggregator could also increase the consumption of an electricity consumer when electricity prices are favourable. Aggregators look for flexibility mainly at demand-side response and energy storage. The aggregator can deliver energy aggregation services to an energy services company involved with the SmartSPIN business model. The aggregator can benefit from SmartSPIN as they can explore a new market, focused on commercial buildings by collaborating with the ESCOs.

Energy supplier

The energy supplier is a company that supplies energy (electricity, gas, etc.) to the occupying entity. The occupying entity pays for their energy usage. The energy supplier can benefit from the SmartSPIN project as the SmartSPIN business model optimizes the balance between demand and supply. The energy efficiency measures can also result in lower consumption and might lead to reduced profit for energy suppliers. However, the role of the energy supplier is changing as more energy suppliers deliver green energy. Therefore, flexibility becomes increasingly important. With dynamic pricing they could adjust consumption and incentivize their consumers to buy energy when there is much green energy available and vice versa. The SmartSPIN business model can help the energy suppliers in optimizing the balance between demand and supply.

Development and energy services provider

⁵ https://www.beuc.eu/publications/beuc-x-2018-010_electricity_aggregators_starting_off_on_the_right_foot_with_consumers.pdf





The development and energy services provider develops and manages energy services that improve energy efficiency and demand management. They choose the technologies and design/engineer the energy and demand management system. They deliver this to the ESCO's which in turn pay a fee. They benefit from SmartSPIN as the SmartSPIN business model will enable increased engagement of energy efficiency and demand management services. In addition, if the ESCOs expand their services delivered to the clients, the technology portfolio of the development and energy services provider will be broadened and this may lead to increased profit and client base.

4.1.3 3rd level relevance stakeholders

Subcontractors

Subcontractors install the energy systems and deliver services at the buildings from the owning entity, such as sensors, renovations, isolation etc. They also deliver energy metering and management services to the occupying entity. Subcontractors service charges are paid by the development and energy services provider. They benefit from SmartSPIN Service implementation will result in an increase in usage of these energy systems and services.

Network system operators

Network system operators include transmission system operators (TSO), distribution system operators (DSO) and heat network companies. A TSO is responsible for transporting energy on a national or regional level, using fixed infrastructure. Transmission grids transport large quantities of high voltage electricity across large distances, often from large power plants to the outskirts of large cities or industrial zones, where it is transformed into lower voltages distributed to all end-users through the distribution network. DSOs are responsible for these energy distribution networks, mostly operating at low and medium voltage levels. These network system operators can benefit from SmartSPIN as the project will enable wider access to flexibility services which can make the network more reliable.

Debt and equity providers

Debt and equity providers provide project capital to the ESCOs. They could benefit from the SmartSPIN project as they can fund more projects which will result in increased return on their investments. Furthermore, the SmartSPIN business model delivers added value, and this can result in more profitable projects.

Research/Academia

Research organisations such as universities can benefit from the SmartSPIN project as this project will result in research opportunities on business model innovation, energy efficiency innovation and possible advancements in teaching.

Policymakers

Policymakers can use the recommendations and results produced in the SmartSPIN project to develop and or adjust policies related to split incentive issues, energy markets, regulations or innovation policy.





Technology providers

Technology providers develop and provide the energy efficiency and flexibility technologies. They can benefit from the SmartSPIN project via ESCOs who will be involved in SmartSPIN service implementation by providing their technologies to potential clients of SmartSPIN. This will lead to higher sales value of their products with the increased demand.

4.1.4 4th level relevance stakeholders

These stakeholders are the wider public, including for example NGOs or citizens, which could be interested in the project but are not directly related to the SmartSPIN business model. Benefits for society include reduction in energy consumption and decarbonization of the commercial sector.

4.2 EU PROJECT ANALYSIS

As outlined in section 3.3, the EU project analysis starts with a selection of topics/keywords most relevant to the SmartSPIN project. Based on the characteristics of the SmartSPIN project, this approach has resulted in the list of used keywords provided in Table 1.

Table 1 Selected keywords for EU project search

Energy efficiency	ESCO
Demand response OR DR OR demand flexibility OR demand side flexibility	EPC OR energy performance contract
Business model OR business opportunity	Energy management system OR efficient energy management

Using these keywords and different combinations of those to search publicly funded EU-projects has resulted in a list of 245 projects. In a next step, these projects were qualitatively evaluated for relevance to the SmartSPIN project based on the project description. Projects directly related to one or more of the concepts in the SmartSPIN projects were assigned a relevance '1'. Projects tangentially related to these concepts, or e.g. related to directly competing concepts, were assigned relevance '2'. Projects unrelated to SmartSPIN were assigned relevance '3'. These might have resulted from the keywords appearing somewhere in the project description but having no bearing on the core of the project.

This evaluation resulted in 164 projects with relevance '3', which were discarded. That leaves 83 projects, of which 58 projects had relevance 2 and 25 projects had relevance 1 (including SmartSPIN itself). The latter group of projects are listed in Table 2. Note that this evaluation is indicative, and these 25 projects should not be regarded as exclusively the most important related projects at this stage.

This list of projects works as input to the overall communication, dissemination and exploitation activities of the project, providing them a basis for creating higher impact. As part of the communication, dissemination and exploitation activities, efforts will be made to liaise with these





projects and explore any potential synergies that might be possible (e.g. as potential partners, collaborators or clients).

Table 2 Indicative list of most relevant projects to SmartSPIN

Project name	Acronym	Start	End	Eligible costs	Funding	Programme
Next Generation Of Energy Performance Contracting	SMART EPC	02/2022	01/2025	€ 1,998,396	€ 1,998,396	H2020
Smart energy services to solve the SPlit INcentive problem in the commercial rented sector	SmartSPIN	09/2021	12/2024	€ 1,996,787	€ 1,996,787	H2020
Valorising Energy Efficiency And Flexibility At Demand-Side Using Vehicle To Grid (V2G) And Vehicle To Building (V2B) Technology	V2Market	09/2021	08/2024	€ 1,999,858	€ 1,999,857	H2020
Next-Generation Integrated Energy Services fOr Citizen Energy CommuNities	NEON	09/2021	02/2024	€ 1,999,812	€ 1,999,812	H2020
New business models for innovative energy service bundles for residential consumers	frESCO	06/2020	11/2023	€ 5,127,738	€ 3,987,195	H2020
Intelligent interconnection of prosumers in positive energy communities with twins of things for digital energy markets	TwinERGY	11/2020	10/2023	€ 7,090,310	€ 5,903,474	H2020
Interoperable Solutions Connecting Smart Homes, Buildings and Grids	InterConnect	10/2019	09/2023	€ 35,793,191	€ 29,999,513	H2020
Next-generation Dynamic Digital EPCs for Enhanced Quality and User Awareness	D^2EPC	09/2020	08/2023	€ 2,993,687	€ 2,499,287	H2020
Innovative Financing for Servitisation and Capitalization of Energy Efficiency Solutions for SMEs Efficient Equipment as a Service	eEaaS	06/2020	05/2023	€ 1,625,531	€ 1,625,530	H2020
establishing Community Renewable Energy Webs - Rolling out a business model and operational tool creating webs of households that jointly manage energy to improve efficiency	eCREW	06/2020	05/2023	€ 1,996,112	€ 1,996,112	H2020





and renewables uptake						
Smart Energy Services Integrating the Multiple Benefits from Improving the Energy Efficiency of the European Building Stock	SENSEI	09/2019	02/2023	€ 1,968,006	€ 1,968,006	H2020
SUPERmarket Human based innovative financing schemes for Energy Efficiency Retrofitting and Optimisation	SUPER-HEERO	06/2020	11/2022	€ 1,435,167	€ 1,421,417	H2020
eXTENDING the energy performance assessment and certification schemes via a mOdular approach	X-tendo	09/2019	08/2022	€ 2,057,277	€ 2,057,277	H2020
Active managed Buildings with Energy performance Contracting	AmBIENCE	06/2019	05/2022	€1,999,875	€1,999,875	H2020
Integrating Real-Intelligence in Energy Management Systems enabling Holistic Demand Response Optimization in Buildings and Districts	HOLISDER	10/2017	03/2021	€ 5,048,796	€ 3,902,071	H2020
New Buildings Energy Renovation Business Models incorporating dual energy services	NOVICE	06/2017	05/2020	€ 2,041,206	€ 2,041,206	H2020
Energy Efficiency with Performance Guarantees in Private and Public Sector	guarantEE	04/2016	03/2019	€ 1,586,558	€ 1,586,558	H2020
Incentives through Transparency: European Rental Housing Framework for Profitability Calculation of Energetic Retrofitting Investments	RentalCal	03/2015	07/2018	€ 1,996,766	€ 1,996,765	H2020
Improving the attractiveness of investments in energy efficiency and sustainability in buildings	BUILDINTEREST	04/2016	06/2018	€ 1,754,635	€ 1,754,635	H2020
Innovative Energy (Efficiency) Service Models for Sector Integration via Blockchain	InEExS	11/2022	10/2025	€2,046,482	€1,944,158	LIFE
Building Up Next-Generation Smart	BungEES	10/2022	09/2025	€2,104,525	€1,999,293	LIFE





Energy Services Offer and Market Up-take Valorising Energy Efficiency and Flexibility at Demand-Side						
Energy efficiency Building Enhancement through performance guarantee Tools	EBENTO	10/2022	09/2025	€ 4,785,562	€ 4,785,562	Horizon Europe
CBDC powered Smart PerFORrmance contractS for Efficiency, Sustainable, Inclusive, Energy use	FORTESIE	09/2022	08/2025	€7,367,150	€7,367,150	Horizon Europe
Energy Efficiency Aggregation platform for Sustainable Investments	ENERGATE	01/2023	12/2025	€1,842,025	€1,749,924	LIFE
Transforming Renovation in the Southern Seaboard Region-Ireland	TRESS	09/2023	08/2026	1,566,861	1,423,770	LIFE

To identify the most central stakeholders in the field SmartSPIN operated in, all participants were extracted with a relevance of 1 and 2 and indexed by number of project participations. The total number of partners was 745, of which 110 organisations have more than 1 participations in relevant projects. Figure 6 presents the 34 organisations which have more than 2 participations in relevant projects. From the analysis, the Institute Energy and Climate Policy (IEECP) is the organisation with the most expertise in the field with participation in 9 projects related to SmartSPIN, followed by Fraunhofer Institute and Hypertech, both with participation in 8 relevant projects and Tecnalía (SmartSPIN partner) with participation in 7 relevant projects. Moreover, the details of the 16 organisations which have participated in a project related to SmartSPIN more than 4 times are provided in Table 3 to Table 12.






Figure 6. Partners with participation in more than 2 of the identified projects (relevance 1 & 2)





Stakeholder Tables

In the following tables, a more detailed description is provided of those stakeholders identified in Figure 6. with the highest number of participations in EU projects that are relevant to SmartSPIN and are not already a SmartSPIN project partner as well as their projects.

Table 3 Stakeholder table - Institute for European Energy and Climate policy

Company information	Name: Institute for European Energy and Climate policy Type: Research institution Country: Netherlands Website: https://ieecp.org/
General description	The Institute for European Energy and Climate Policy (IEECP) is a non-for-profit, independent research organisation sharing pragmatic results, innovative ideas and science-based independent expertise towards the energy transition and a sustainable future.
Participation in related projects	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SENSEI 2. DEESME 3. EBENTO 4. FORTESIE 5. InEExS 6. ENERGATE 7. AUDIT2MEASURE 8. DEESME 2050 9. ENEFIRST PLUS
Contact	https://ieecp.org/contact/

Table 4 Stakeholder table – Fraunhofer-Gesellschaft

Company information	Name: Fraunhofer Gesellschaft zur Förderung der Angewandten Forschung Type: Research institution Country: Germany Website: www.fraunhofer.de
General description	The Fraunhofer-Gesellschaft zur Förderung der angewandten Forschung is a German organisation for applied scientific research.
Participation in related projects	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NOBEL GRID 2. NEWBEE 3. InterConnect 4. MOEEBIUS 5. EEPOS 6. DEESME 7. BungEES 8. ENEFIRST PLUS
Contact	Phone: +49 8912050





Table 5 Stakeholder table – Hypertech

Company information	Name: Hypertech Type: ICT-services & consultancy Country: Greece Website: www.hypertech.gr
General description	Hypertech provides digital transformation, communication, and research & innovation solutions to public organisations, cultural institutions, and private businesses.
Participation in related projects	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NOVICE 2. NOBEL GRID 3. BESOS 4. DRIMPAC 5. HOLISDER 6. D^2EPC 7. MOEEBIUS 8. IELECTRIX
Contact	Phone: +30 2106179441

Table 6 Stakeholder table – Etra Investigacion

Company information	Name: Etra investigacion y desarrollo Type: Research institution Country: Spain Website: www.grupoetra.com/
General description	ETRA is a large business group dedicated to putting at the service of society the most advanced technologies in the areas of mobility, traffic and transport network, lighting, energy, security and communications.
Participation in related projects	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NOBEL GRID 2. BESOS 3. HOLISDER 4. TwinERGY 5. NOBEL 6. EBENTO
Contact	https://www.grupoetra.com/en/contact/

Table 7 Stakeholder table – Centre for Renewable Energy Sources and Saving (CRES)

Company information	Name: Centre for Renewable Energy Sources and Saving (CRES) Type: Research institution Country: Greece Website: http://www.cres.gr
General description	The Centre for Renewable Energy Sources and Saving (CRES) is the Greek organisation for Renewable Energy Sources (RES), Rational Use of Energy (RUE) and Energy Saving (ES). CRES has been appointed as the national co-ordination centre in its area of activity.





Participation in related projects	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. X-tendo 2. crossCert 3. EasySRI 4. ENEFIRST PLUS 5. KNOWnNEBs 6. SMAFIN Expanded
Contact	Email: cres@cres.gr Phone: +30 210 6603300

8 Stakeholder table – National Technical University of Athens (NTUA)

Company information	Name: National Technical University of Athens (NTUA) Type: University Country: Greece Website: https://www.ntua.gr/en/
General description	The national technical University of Athens (NTUA) is one of the most prestigious universities in Greece. It is well-known for its contributions to engineering, science, and technology education and research.
Participation in related projects	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. InEExS 2. ENERGATE 3. BuildON 4. AUDIT2MEASURE 5. SRI-ENACT 6. FORTESIE
Contact	https://www.ntua.gr/en/contact/general-information

Table 9 Stakeholder table – Rina Consulting

Company information	Name: RINA Type: Consulting Country: Italy Website: www.rina.org
General description	RINA engages in partnering with customers in developing solutions to complex problems, while leveraging our expertise to anticipate and address any challenges along the way. Improving the quality of life and building sustainable values for future generations.
Participation in related projects	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Fresco 2. SUPER-HEERO 3. IREEN 4. EPIC-HUB 5. BuildOn
Contact	Phone: +39 010 31961





Table 10 Stakeholder table – ETHNIKO KENTRO EREVNAS KAI TECHNOLOGIKIS ANAPTYXIS

Company information	Name: Centre for Research & Technology Hellas (CERTH) Type: Research institute Country: Greece Website: https://www.certh.gr/
General description	Centre for Research and Technology Hellas (CERTH), is one of Greece's leading research centres. It is recognized for its contributions to scientific research, innovation, and technological development.
Participation in related projects	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. D²EPC 2. NOBEL 3. DATAWiSE 4. easySRI 5. DRIMPAC
Contact	Phone: +30 2310 498100 E-mail: certh@certh.gr

Table 11 Stakeholder table – CIRCE

Company information	Name: Fundación CIRCE Centro de Investigación de Recursos y Consumos Energéticos (CIRCE) Type: Research institute Country: Spain Website: https://www.fcirce.es/
General description	CIRCE is a technology centre with the aim of improving the competitiveness of companies by generating and transferring technological solutions through R&D&I and training activities, oriented to the market in the field of sustainability and resource efficiency, electricity grids and renewable energies.
Participation in related projects	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. frESCO 2. eCREW 3. E[plus] 4. IELECTRIX 5. DATAWiSE
Contact	Phone: +34 976 976 859 E-mail: circe@fcirce.es

Table 12 Stakeholder table – KAPE

Company information	Name: National Energy Conservation Agency (KAPE) Type: Consulting Country: Poland Website: https://kape.gov.pl/
General description	The Krajowa Agencja Poszanowania Energii Spółka Akcyjna (KAPE), translated as the National Energy Conservation Agency, is a Polish company dedicated to promoting energy efficiency, renewable energy, and sustainable development. It operates as a key institution in Poland, supporting businesses, municipalities, and the government in implementing energy-saving and green energy solutions.





Participation in related projects	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. crossCert 2. DEESME 3. DEESME 2050 4. ENEFIRST PLUS 5. KNOWnNEBs
Contact	Phone: +48 (22) 626 09 10 Email: kape@kape.gov.pl

Figure 7 shows an overview of the projects relevant to SmartSPIN (relevance 1+2 to SmartSPIN), over the years. It is notable that in 2022, Horizon projects start decreasing in number as the scope of such funded projects has been taken up by the new LIFE Clean Energy Transition programme.




Figure 7. Number of projects of relevance 1 and 2 to SmartSPIN per year of project start

The following figure (Figure 8) shows the distribution of the 745 partners that participated in all 58 projects of relevance 1 to SmartSPIN per country. To clarify, one organisation can reoccur multiple times. For example, Hypertech is a project partner in 8 projects, so will return 8 times in Greece. This map thus shows a geographical spread of the most active countries. It can be seen that Spain is the most active country with 127 project participations, followed by the Greece (76) and Italy (66).




Figure 8. Number of partners per country in projects of relevance 1 to SmartSPIN

4.3 PATENT ANALYSIS

This phase is complementary to the EU project analysis and provides insight into which parties perform R&D on the topic of interest but not (necessarily) within the context of a collaborative, publicly funded project. A “quick analysis” was first performed in accordance with the methodology presented in section 3.4 checking a wide range of patents, in order to make a preliminary assessment. Being a Coordination & Support Action, SmartSPIN is a less technical project, primarily focussing on business model innovation which is typically not patented. Therefore, expectedly, the assessment that was made as part of the “quick analysis” concluded that the results have been of lower relevance, which led to not proceeding with further steps in the patent analysis.

4.4 BUSINESS DRIVERS ANALYSIS

After the identification of stakeholders involved in EU projects and in patents, the stakeholders analysis was complemented with a third pillar which we call “potential business drivers”. As explained in the methodology section of the report (section 3), the approach here was focused on the identification of stakeholders that could benefit from the innovative approaches and results of the project through their European associations or clusters, as these most often work as networks of national associations as well.





Below is a non-exhaustive list, including the weblinks, of more than 1.500 members of national, European or international associations of ESCOs, owners and tenants:

- Smart Energy Cluster - smartenergycluster.eu
- [eu.ESCO](http://eu.ESCO.org): European Association of Energy Service Companies – euesco.org
- [eu.bac](http://eu.bac.org): European Building Automation and Controls Association – eubac.org
- [eceee](http://www.eceee.org): European Council for an Energy Efficient Economy – www.eceee.org
- [BPIE](http://www.bpie.eu): Buildings Performance Institute Europe – www.bpie.eu
- [EuroACE](http://euroace.org): European Alliance of Companies for Energy Efficiency in Buildings – euroace.org
- [Global ESCO Network](http://globalesconetwork.unepdtu.org) – globalesconetwork.unepdtu.org
- [IUT](http://www.iut.nu): International Union of Tenants – www.iut.nu
- [smartEn](http://smarten.eu): Smart Energy Europe – smarten.eu
- [REHVA](http://rehva.eu): Federation of European Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning Associations – rehva.eu
- National ESCO associations:
 - [Austria](http://deca.org): Dienstleister Energieeffizienz und Contracting Austria ([DECA](http://deca.org))
 - [Austria](http://ogut.org): Contracting-Portal für Österreich ([ÖGUT](http://ogut.org))
 - [Belgium](http://beleesco.org): Belgian ESCO Association ([BELESCO](http://beleesco.org))
 - [Bulgaria](http://aee.org): Alliance For Energy Efficiency ([AEE](http://aee.org))
 - [Cyprus](http://mecl.org): EPC registry ([MECI](http://mecl.org))
 - [Czech Republic](http://apes.org): Asociace poskytovatelů energetických služeb ([APES](http://apes.org))
 - [Finland](http://fedene.org): Motiva's list of ESCOs ([FEDENE](http://fedene.org))
 - [France](http://fedene.org): The Federation of Energy-Environment Services ([FEDENE](http://fedene.org))
 - [Germany](http://vfw.org): Verband für Wärmelieferung e.V. ([VfW](http://vfw.org))
 - [Greece](http://eeey.org): Μητρώο Επιχειρήσεων Ενεργειακών Υπηρεσιών ([EEY](http://eeey.org))
 - [Italy](http://fire.org): Federazione Italiana per l'uso Razionale dell'Energia ([FIRE](http://fire.org))
 - [Italy](http://assoescos.org): Associazione italiana delle Energy Service Company e degli Operatori dell'Efficienza Energetica ([AssoESCO](http://assoescos.org))
 - [Italy](http://federesco.org): Federazione Nazionale delle ESCO ([federesco](http://federesco.org))
 - [Netherlands](http://ppsnetwerk.nl): ESCoNetwerk ([PPS Netwerk](http://ppsnetwerk.nl))
 - [Netherlands](http://kego.nl): Kenniscentrum Energieprestatie Gebouwde Omgeving ([KEGO](http://kego.nl))





- Portugal: Associação Portuguesa de Empresas de Serviços de Energia ([APESE](#))
- Romania: Asociația ESCOROM a Societăților de Servicii Energetice din România ([ESCOROM](#))
- Slovakia: Asociácia Poskytovateľov Energetických Služieb ([APES](#))
- Spain: Asociación de Empresas de Mantenimiento Integral ([AMI](#))
- Spain: Asociación de Empresas de Servicios Energéticos ([ANESE](#))
- Switzerland: swissESCO ([swissESCO](#))
- United Kingdom: Energy Services and Technology Association ([ESTA](#))

4.5 STAKEHOLDERS SURVEY ANALYSIS

As explained in the methodology section of the report (section 3), after having completed the previous stages, the analysis is complemented by a survey that aims to measure, analyse and better understand stakeholder interest, attitude and knowledge with regards to the SmartSPIN business model. Furthermore, it provides input to enhance the future dissemination and exploitation activities. This consultation process is divided in three main parts; designing the questions and the overall survey, distributing the survey to the targeted stakeholders and collecting and analysing the input provided. The work conducted for these three parts is explained below.

a) Survey design

Based on input from the value chain analysis (section 4.1), the survey has been structured around the four SmartSPIN (1st relevance) stakeholder groups: tenants, landlords, property management and ESCOs. It soon became clear that certain questions were only relevant to specific stakeholders or that the same questions needed to be expressed differently depending on the stakeholder group. The questions in the survey were therefore divided into 5 parts. The first part included general profiling questions, which were the same for all respondents. The second part contained questions specific to the target group to which the respondent belonged. The third part included general questions about the SmartSPIN business model that were applicable to all stakeholders. The fourth part consisted of dedicated questions specific to stakeholders related to one of the pilot locations. The fifth and final part of the survey asked for respondents' permission to be contacted in future project activities.

After the basic structure and questions were developed, the survey was pilot-tested by a test group consisting of EGEN colleagues and consortium partners, covering all different stakeholder groups already defined in the value chain (section 4.1). This process allowed to finalise the structure and flow of the questionnaire, ensuring high quality and relevance to the stakeholders. The online version of the second survey can be accessed under: <https://forms.office.com/e/kXrerRXCby>.

b) Survey distribution

Before distributing it to a wider audience, the survey was pre-tested in a dedicated meeting with EGEN colleagues, where the structure and content was validated. Following that, EGEN together with colleagues from PNO that are responsible for SmartSPIN's communication and dissemination





strategy launched the survey and started a communication campaign using all possible channels in multiple rounds for reaching the targeted audience, i.e. the project and partners newsletters and social media (Figure 9) the project website, dedicated emails to the partners’ network, European & national associations, etc. (see communication and dissemination channels identified in D7.1). Furthermore, to further promote the survey, a notification has been sent to the tenancies of the Spanish demo sites via the gamification dashboard in which they were invited to participate in the SmartSPIN survey (Figure 10 and Figure 11).





Figure 9: Left: Third re-launch of the SmartSPIN Survey via the SmartSPIN LinkedIn Right: Snapshot of a SmartSPIN Newsletter.




Figure 10: Invite to survey via a notification in the Gamification Dashboard






Figure 11: Invitation for Survey via the Gamification Dashboard.

c) Input collection and analysis

The survey was launched in the first week of February 2024 and remained open until the last week of November 2024. Multiple rounds of survey promotion were conducted, resulting in 31 responses, of which 29 provided consent for their use in this value chain & stakeholder analysis. Given the limited number of responses, however, the analysis draws more general conclusions based on the stakeholders' answers instead of providing a largely quantitative analysis. Furthermore, it should be noted that the stakeholders who responded to the survey are likely more familiar with smart energy services than the general public due to the nature of the network of the project partners. Having said that, the qualitative data derived from the survey is very valuable. As the following sections will enhance, several conclusions can be drawn from the survey, providing insights in the stakeholders' profiles, drivers and barriers, as was the goal of this survey. Collection of input to this survey also serves as an engagement opportunity with the project stakeholders, which helps to establish a link with them also for future engagement activities (e.g. exploitation activities).

Respondents' profile

A total of 29 responses were collected from the 4 targeted stakeholder groups as well as the wider value chain. The respondents were distributed as follows: 10 tenants, 3 landlords, 1 ESCO, and 16 'others.' Unfortunately, no respondents identified as facility managers. For a full overview of stakeholder groups, see Figure 12.






Figure 12: Stakeholder groups

The survey was distributed well under different European countries. All respondents were active in the European Union, but some of them also operated in other countries. The countries in which the consortium members are most active were represented the most in the survey, showing that the consortium members utilized their network well. For the full country split, see Figure 13.




Figure 13: Country split

Tenants, landlords, and facility manager’s potential drivers and barriers for smart energy services.

The tenants, landlords and facility managers were also asked about their knowledge, drivers and barriers on energy efficiency and flexibility. Given that no facility managers have participated in the survey, the following conclusions are only focused on the tenants and landlords.





- The results show that landlords are highly aware of the energy efficiency class of their buildings, with all three landlords indicating they knew their building's efficiency class. In contrast, 8 out of 10 tenants reported that they do not know the efficiency class of their building.
- The results show that both tenants and landlords are knowledgeable about smart energy services. Of those who responded to the survey, 80% of tenants and 100% of landlords were familiar with what smart energy services entail.
- The respondents overall had a very positive attitude towards sustainability and taking action in increasing the energy efficiency of their buildings through energy efficiency measures provided by an ESCO.
- Landlords and tenants are familiar with various smart energy services. Among the respondents, smart metering was the most recognized service, identified by 26.67%, followed by energy efficiency services (24.44%) and on-site energy generation (17.78%). A complete overview of familiarity percentages for different energy services is provided in Figure 14.




Figure 14: Familiarity of energy services

- Various landlords and tenants indicated that they already have smart energy services installed in their buildings. Among the respondents, the most commonly installed service was smart metering, present in 32.14% of cases, followed by energy efficiency services (28.57%) and on-site energy generation (21.43%). Only 7.14% of landlords and tenants reported having no energy services installed in their buildings. A complete overview of the installed energy efficiency measures is provided in Figure 15.




Figure 15: Installed energy efficiency services (tenants and landlords)

- In most cases, the landlord is solely responsible for the energy contract within a building (62%), while in 31% of cases, this responsibility is shared with the tenant. Only 8% of tenants reported being solely responsible for the energy contract. This suggests that energy cost sharing is not very common.
- communication between landlords and tenants regarding energy services, energy efficiency, and sustainability initiatives is perceived as very good, with tenants giving an average rating of 7 and landlords an average rating of 7.7. This strong communication supports the successful implementation of new initiatives.
- On average, landlords and tenants are likely to collaborate in forming agreements with an Energy Service Company, with tenants giving an average rating of 8 and landlords an average rating of 6.33.

Tenants:

- The majority of tenants (70%) are interested in gaining insights into the energy use of the buildings they rent.
- Most tenants (70%) are unaware of financial support options for improving their building's energy efficiency. However, all tenants expressed interest in learning about the necessary investments to increase building efficiency.
- Several tenants indicated opposition to or indifference toward energy efficiency measures if they do not provide financial benefits.
- It is striking that a lot of tenants are indifferent on the installation of various energy efficiency measures in their buildings. For example, 80% of the tenants indicated to be indifferent on



having onsite energy storage, 80% in participation in demand and response, and 20% on the onsite energy generation.

- One tenant highlighted the need for more information about smart energy services and improved access to these services.

Landlords:

- Landlords strongly support the introduction of smart metering services in their buildings, with an average rating of 9.33 out of 10. They also expressed interest in gaining insights into their building's energy use.
- The knowledge on the financial support to improve the energy efficiency of their buildings is higher for landlords compared to tenants. All the landlords have indicated to know about the financial support to improve the energy efficiency of their building.
- One of the landlords highlighted an increasing need for the establishment of a regulatory framework for electric vehicles to be used as an energy storage unit and power source to buildings (V2G applications).

ESCO's potential drivers and barriers for smart energy services.

The ESCOs were also asked about their knowledge, drivers and barriers on energy efficiency and flexibility. Since there was only one respondent which identified as an ESCO, strong conclusions cannot be drawn from this data. However, the response provides valuable insights into the ESCO's knowledge, drivers, and barriers regarding energy efficiency and flexibility. Key findings are summarized below:

- To avail services, the ESCO is contacted by the landlord of the building. This aligns with the finding that most landlords manage the energy contracts in their buildings.
- The ESCO provides its services in Greece and Cyprus, either for a fixed fee or based on guaranteed savings, with fixed-fee contracts being the most common arrangement.
- The ESCO that participated in the survey seems to have no familiarity with the split incentive issue. Nevertheless, after the split incentive issue was explained, the ESCO indicated that it would likely collaborate taking into consideration such an issue to establish appropriate agreements on energy services to be provided in commercial rented buildings.

Interest in and potential drivers and barriers for the adoption of the SmartSPIN business model:

All respondents were asked to answer questions about the SmartSPIN business model. The aim was to understand potential users' interest in the model, as well as the drivers and barriers to its adoption. Key insights are summarized below:

- The majority of respondents (19 out of 29) expressed interest in participating in the SmartSPIN business model. Five respondents were indifferent, while another five indicated they were not interested.
- The reasons that were provided for not being interested in participating in the SmartSPIN business model indicated a lack of understanding of the business model. For example, one





of the landlords that responded to the survey indicated that “Tenants purchase their own electricity and are unlikely to agree to such a proposal”. Even though if tenants purchase their own electricity, they can still benefit from the SmartSPIN business model through both energy and non-energy benefits. Another response, from a representative of the Academia & Research stakeholder group, further highlights a misunderstanding of the model. This respondent argued against participation, citing a perceived absence of sector coupling and claiming that such models are already widespread and lack uniqueness. However, this perspective overlooks the fact that sector coupling typically addresses broader energy systems, whereas the SmartSPIN business model focuses specifically on providing smart energy services for commercial rented buildings.

- The results indicate that the majority of stakeholders (77%) prefer to adopt the SmartSPIN business model through a financed approach.
- Six respondents expressed a preference for a self-funded model. However, only two of them are willing to proceed if project financing costs are 3–4% above market rates. The remaining respondents are unwilling to invest under such conditions. It is worth noting that respondents may not be experts in financial models. For example, one respondent emphasized the desire for a simple and affordable solution.

Various questions were also included on the contractual agreement itself. Below, the most important findings are listed:

- Most respondents stated that the contractual agreement should involve the ESCO, landlord, and tenants. Notably, two respondents suggested that the energy consultant, landlord, and tenants should be included instead.
- According to the respondents, the most important clause that should be included in the contractual agreement is a clause that determines the sharing of savings between the ESCO, landlord, and tenants (25%), followed by a clause about the performance guarantee (15%). An overview of the votes on the inclusion of various elements in the contractual agreement can be found in Figure 16.




Figure 16: Clauses to be included in the contractual agreement.





Area specific feedback on the SmartSPIN business model by tenants:

To validate the SmartSPIN business model at the pilot locations, specific questions were directed to stakeholders associated with the following sites:

1. Centro Commercial La Gavia (Madrid, Spain)
2. Centro Commercial Plenilunio (Madrid, Spain)
3. Incubation 4 Growth (I4G) (Thessaloniki, Greece)
4. 30 Herbert Street (Dublin, Ireland)

The responses to these questions were used to validate the SmartSPIN business model at these pilot locations, but also to provide input for the validation of the SmartSPIN gamification application (see deliverable D5.4 Smartphone app for gamification activities) (and the data visualisation dashboard (see deliverable D4.5 SmartSPIN data-platform integration and visualization dashboard)

Responses were received from three tenants at Centro Commercial La Gavia, three tenants at Centro Commercial Plenilunio, and one tenant at Incubation 4 Growth (I4G). The findings are summarized below.

- The tenants of the Spanish demo sites emphasize that energy efficiency services should guarantee reduced energy consumption, leading to assured economic savings.
- The tenant from the Greek pilot site has specified the desired features for the service, including smart meters, smart energy management software for building energy management, local green energy and storage systems, and a user-friendly energy management and monitoring platform.
- The tenant from the Greek pilot site also notes that its premises could provide energy flexibility to the electricity grid through load shifting and energy optimization patterns. Furthermore, to unlock energy flexibility at its premises, the respondent suggests that dynamic pricing schemes could potentially help.
- The tenants have indicated that that the SmartSPIN business model could help to improve energy efficiency at their premises via implementation of additional efficiency measures and by improving recommendations for the sector. For example, one tenant mention that energy efficiency can be improved by *“Applying smart and green energy production and consumption optimization technologies along with real-time load and energy consumption monitoring methods”*.

In addition to the tenants, one landlord from the Greek demo site, Incubation 4 Growth (I4G), participated in the survey. This respondent indicated that the SmartSPIN service could enhance energy efficiency at its premises by ensuring a fair distribution of energy costs among tenants. Furthermore, the respondent highlights that the service could help identify opportunities for additional energy savings.

Exploitation opportunities





Finally, besides the insights derived from the survey outlined above, this consultation process helped the SmartSPIN project grow its network as the project partners engaged with a number of various stakeholders. The majority of the respondents stated that they would like to keep in contact with the SmartSPIN project. The contacts established throughout this process can be utilised for further exploitation activities.

5 CONCLUSIONS

This value chain and stakeholders' analysis provides concise information on potential stakeholders related to the SmartSPIN activities. This study has provided input to the dissemination communication and exploitation strategy that was adopted by the project to set up targeted actions and engagement with the identified group of stakeholders.

The findings of the phases implemented provide a detailed description of the stakeholders' landscape (Figure 5), their role and relevance to the SmartSPIN project as well as indicate how they could benefit from the project. Although the list of stakeholders identified is by no means exhaustive, the report lays down the foundations of an international community of more than 2,000 stakeholders and provides concise information about their main interests in the areas related to SmartSPIN. The emphasis was placed on ESCOs, landlords, tenants and property management that could directly benefit from the results of the project or could potentially develop business relationships with the SmartSPIN consortium partners as well as research organisations that are relevant for potential synergies and collaborations. More specifically:

- The EU project analysis (section 4.2) has identified a list of 245 projects and 741 organisations participating in them, filtering them down to the most relevant projects (Table 2) and 34 organisations with participations in more than 2 relevant projects (Figure 6). As expected, SmartSPIN partners such as TECNALIA, IERC, HEBES and LS have a strong presence in these projects. The top 11 participating organisations are analysed.
- The business drivers analysis (section 4.4) has identified a list of more than 1.500 members of national, European or international associations of ESCOs, owners and tenants.
- An extensive communication campaign was conducted to collect responses for the stakeholder survey. This campaign utilized all available channels, including project and partner newsletters, social media, the project website, dedicated emails to partner networks, European and national associations, and the gamification dashboard, to repeatedly engage the target audience (as detailed in section 4.5). Despite the limited number of responses, multiple valuable conclusions can be drawn from the survey results. Some key results are:
 - The majority of respondents (19 out of 29) expressed interest in participating in the SmartSPIN business model.
 - The results indicate that the majority of stakeholders (77%) prefer to adopt the SmartSPIN business model through a financed approach.
 - Most tenants (70%) are unaware of financial support options for improving their building's energy efficiency. However, all tenants expressed interest in learning about the necessary investments to increase building efficiency.





- According to the respondents, the most important clause that should be included in the contractual agreement is a clause that determines the sharing of savings between the ESCO, landlord, and tenant (25%).
- The tenants at the Spanish and Greek demo sites have indicated that the SmartSPIN business model could help them to improve energy efficiency at their premises via implementation of additional efficiency measures.

